# Rationale and design for a Phase 2 trial of abobotulinumtoxinA (Dysport®) in the management of Hallux valgus

Philippe Picaut,<sup>1</sup> Lawrence DiDomenico,<sup>2</sup> Babak Baravarian,<sup>3</sup> Robert Silva,<sup>1</sup> David G. Armstrong<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Ipsen Bioscience Inc, Cambridge, MA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Ankle and Foot Care Center, Youngstown, OH; <sup>3</sup>UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA; <sup>4</sup>Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

#### INTRODUCTION

- Hallux valgus (bunion) is a progressive foot deformity, affecting up to 35% of adults and characterised by pain, morphological changes in foot appearance, and functional disability.1
- While hallux valgus is typically managed initially by orthotic applications such as splints, inserts or braces used to correct foot biomechanics, the efficacy of these interventions is widely considered to be largely ineffective with substantial evidence suggesting that these devices are no more effective than no treatment at all.<sup>2</sup> Surgery is common, but is associated with a prolonged recovery, post-surgical pain and a significant chance of recurrence.3
- Evidence suggests that the underlying cause of hallux valgus is related to a progressive imbalance among specific foot muscles.
- This results in lateral deviation of the hallux and osseous changes with subsequent development of a pressure-sensitive prominence on the medial side of the first metatarsal which limits mobility.<sup>4, 5</sup>
- Based on this aetiology, localised injections of abobotulinumtoxinA (AboBoNT-A; Dysport®), have the potential to correct the underlying muscle imbalance, thereby reducing foot pain and improving functional mobility.6,7

### **OBJECTIVE**

Figure 1. Study design

Screening

Screening

**1-21** days

BL

 This ongoing Phase 2 study aims to assess reduction in pain in adult subjects with hallux valgus following treatment with AboBoNT-A vs. placebo.

Cycle 1

Double-blind Period

AboBoNT-A Dose A

N=55

AboBoNT-A Dose B

N=55

Placebo

N=55

Cycle 2

Day 1

(Week 12)

Retreatment eligibility depends on (1) subject willingness to continue AboBoNT-A, (2) clinician judgement that reinjection is in the best interest of the

### **METHODS**

#### Study design

- Randomised, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, multicentre study conducted in two periods:
- a double-blind period lasting for at least 12 weeks – an open-label period which will last up to 24 weeks (Figure 1).
- Eligible subjects (Table 1) will be randomised (1:1:1) to treatment with AboBoNT-A (2 dose groups), or placebo.
- Following completion of double-blind Cycle 1, subjects who meet retreatment criteria will be eligible for open-label treatment.
- Subjects who do not meet retreatment criteria at 12 weeks post-injection will be re-evaluated at the next scheduled visit (every 28 days) to determine eligibility to commence open-label treatment.

#### Study treatment and assessments

- Subjects will receive four intramuscular injections (divided equally) in the study foot under electrical stimulation guidance on Day 1 of double-blind Cycle 1.
- Evaluations of efficacy will be based solely on the foot selected for treatment meeting the study entry criteria.
- For subjects with bilateral hallux valgus, the most affected foot will be selected for double-blind treatment.
- Safety is assessed through adverse event reporting, and clinical evaluations (including physical examination of the study foot).

### **RESULTS**

- Study (NCT03569098) is currently recruiting.
- · A total of 165 subjects are planned to be enrolled in the study.
- Subjects enrolled during the double-blind period will roll over into the open-label period.

Cycle 2 and Cycle 3

Open-label Period

AboBoNT-A

if subject meets eligibility criteria

Double-blind + Open-label = 36 weeks

Cycle 3

Day 1

(Week 24)

Figure 2. Hallux valgus angle



Table 2. Assessments

#### Primary efficacy endpoint

Change from baseline in self-reported foot pain experienced by the subject

Measured by daily Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) averaged over 7 days prior to Week 8.

#### **Secondary efficacy endpoints** (Change from baseline)

Daily mFFI disability, pain, activity limitation and total scores

SF-36 score

Hallux valgus angle as measured directly by weightbearing anterior-posterior radiographs

Intermetatarsal angle as measured directly by weightbearing anterior-posterior radiographs

Time to retreatment

Patient Global Impressions (Improvement and Severity) of

Foot pain Disability

Week 36

End of

follow-up

# **Exploratory efficacy endpoint**

Use of protocol-approved pain medications during the study

# subject (3) foot pain on NPRS≥3 in the 24 hours prior to assessment (4) no unacceptable risk that requires postponement of the injection (5) minimum of 12 weeks since the last AboBoNT-A injection. No subject will receive treatment after the Week 24 study visit.

Table 1. Patient eligibility criteria

great toe

great toe

Day 1

AboBoNT-A or Placebo injections

#### Key inclusion criteria Key exclusion criteria Male or female, aged 18 to 75 years Inability to walk unassisted Clinical diagnosis of hallux valgus as determined by the investigator based on evidence of lateral deviation of either

great toe (left or right)

Hallux valgus angle between ≥15° and <30° in the study foot

Intermetatarsal angle of 12° to 18°, inclusive in the study foot

Foot pain refractory to shoe modifications, nonsteroidal

anti-inflammatory medications, and modification of activities Score of ≥4 on the Numeric Pain Rating Scale

(NPRS; where 0 = no pain and 10 = worst possible pain) in the study foot

subscale in the study foot

Score of >27 on the modified foot function index (mFFI) Pain

Investigator judgement that the subject's deformity is reducible following clinical evaluation including compression of the intermetatarsal angle or rotation of the proximal phalanx. Hallux valgus angle of <15° or ≥30° in the study foot

Presence of flat or square metatarsal head, metatarsus primus elevates and/or severe cavus/planus in the study foot

Any other podiatric or orthopedic condition which may interfere with the accurate evaluation of pain and/or function

History of ankle or foot surgery in the study foot

Use of orthotic inserts or devices on the study foot

History of diabetes, peripheral neuropathy, inflammatory arthritis (including gout) or osteoarthritis conditions or disease causing ligamentous laxity (e.g. Marfan's syndrome, Ehlers-Danlos syndrome)

Body mass index greater than 40 kg/m² or less than  $18.5 \, \text{kg/m}^2$ 

Treatment with any preparation of botulinum toxin within 4 months prior to Screening for any condition, with the exception of glabellar lines or other aesthetic face applications of toxin.

# CONCLUSIONS

 Treatment with AboBoNT-A is a new potential intervention for patients suffering from hallux valgus and who have very limited pharmacological treatment options for this painful condition.

# References

1. Nix S et al. J Foot Ankle Res 2010;3:21

2. Ferrari J et al. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2004:CD000964. 3. Schuh R et al. Phys Ther 2009;89:934-45.

4. Arinci Incel N et al. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2003;82:345-9. 5. Perera AM et al. J Am Podiatr Med Assoc 2008:98:61-5. 7. Wu KP et al. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2015;129 Suppl 1:S58-S62.

# **Author contributions**

Substantial contributions to study conception/design: DGA, LD, BB, RS, PP. Drafting of the publication, or revising it critically for important intellectual content: DGA, LD, BB, RS, PP. Final approval of the publication: DGA, LD, BB, RS, PP.

# **Disclosures**

DGA and BB are investigators for the current study and report consultancy (advisory board) for Ipsen. LD is an investigator for the current study. RS is an Ipsen employee. PP was an Ipsen employee at the time the research was conducted. These data have been presented previously at the following congress: TOXINS 2019.

# Medical writing support

The authors thank Anita Chadha-Patel PhD of ACP Clinical Communications Ltd (Hertfordshire, UK) and Nicola Winstone, DPhil, of Watermeadow Medical, an Ashfield Healthcare company, UK, for providing medical writing support, which was sponsored by Ipsen in accordance with Good Publication

# Acknowledgments

Practice guidelines.

The authors thank all investigators and research staff involved in the study in participating institutions

